### INTERNATIONAL TRAUMA CONFERENCE

# November 2-5, 2023 Houston, Texas, USA

#### ABSTRACT RULES AND SUBMISSION FORM

Deadline: Friday, August 25, 2023

#### POSTER PRESENTATION INSTRUCTIONS

We are now accepting research abstracts to be considered for poster presentations at the 2023 International Trauma Conference. The Conference will be held November 2-5, 2023 in Houston, Texas, USA. All poster presentations for the Research Forum will take place during open exhibit hours that open on Friday, November  $3^{rd}$  from 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm and continue on Saturday, November  $4^{th}$  from 8:00 am - 3:15 pm. We are looking for timely, thought-provoking research projects that aim to improve trauma care and are relevant to the following audiences: EMTs, paramedics, critical care providers, emergency nurses and physicians, EMS medical directors, EMS educators, administrators and managers.

The Research Forum is open to all pre-hospital and hospital-based providers to present a poster presentation on trauma research. All abstracts will be considered, even if previously presented at other meetings.

Judges will present an Excellence in Research Award to the investigator/author of the winning abstract.

# Investigator/Author Guidelines:

- Only electronic submissions will be accepted. All abstracts should be sent to Alexa Franks at <u>Alexaf@itrauma.org</u>. This form may be duplicated for additional submissions. All submissions must be typed.
- The deadline for submission of abstracts is Friday, August 25, 2023 at 4:30 pm Central Time.
  This deadline will be strictly enforced.
- Abstract grading is blinded and abstracts are selected on the basis of scientific merit. Please attach a blinded copy (without the authors listed) of the research abstract for judging purposes.
- The final grading on-site at the conference for the poster format will include
- All presenters are required to be registered for the conference.
- Notification letters of acceptance will be sent out by Monday, September 18, 2023. Notification will be given in writing via email only.
- All presenters are required to complete a Continuing Education (CE) Disclosure Form in advance of the Forum. All research presenters will be required to have this disclosure on file.

# Abstract Submission Guidelines:

- 400-word count limit.
- Structure headings, including Background, Objective, Design/Methods, Results, Conclusion and Impact. See Attached Sample Layout.
  - Abstracts that do not conform to these guidelines will be disqualified.
- This is an international audience, so please use universal metrics and language.

# Helpful Writing Tips:

- Your Objective statement should naturally lead to your Conclusion.
- Your Methods should explicitly define the type of study (e.g., retrospective vs prospective; chart review; randomized control; survey), enrollment period (e.g., 1/1/16 12/31/16), and inclusion/exclusion criteria.
- Your Methods should explicitly define your analytic strategies (e.g., descriptive, Fisher exact, multiple linear regression).
- There is no need to mention IRB oversight (this is understood).
- Your Results should explicitly disclose your N (# of subjects).
- There is no need to mention limitations.
- Use a leading zero for all decimal figures (e.g., 0.3).
- Include a space between a value and its units (e.g., 120 mmHg rather than 120mmHg).
- Do not mention your institution or hospital anywhere in the abstract.
- Case reports are not eligible for submission.
- Use graphics and key figures more than just plain text in the final poster.

# Research Poster Scoring guidelines are:

An 20-point scoring system has been developed by the Research Committee.
 Understanding the criteria below will help optimize your Abstract submission.

### Objective total = 2 points

0=No stated hypothesis or objective

1=Stated hypothesis or objective difficult to test

2=Clearly stated and testable hypothesis or objective

### Design/Methods total = 2 points

0=Chosen design not stated or chosen design did not test the stated hypothesis

1=Chosen design is reasonable for study objective but not the best

2=Chosen design is ideal for study question

### Outcomes total = 2 points

0=Chosen outcomes are not stated or inappropriate for study objective

1=Chosen outcomes are reasonable for study objective, but not the best

2=Chosen outcomes are ideal for study objective

#### Bias total = 2 points

0=Multiple bias, such as wrong or inadequate population studied

1=Adequate population sampling but bias in assessment, measurements, and/or comparison

2=Adequate sampling and no obvious bias

### Results total = 3 points

0=Critical data to interpretation of study absent

1=Study size stated

2=Study size acceptable but statistics, if applicable, not adequate

3=Both study size and statistics adequate

#### Conclusion total = 3 points

0=Conclusion not supported at all by data

1=Conclusion minimally supported by data

2=Conclusion somewhat supported by data

3=Conclusion fully supported by data

#### Impact total = 2 points

0=Study will not change EM practice or make no meaningful contribution to current fund of knowledge

1=Study will possibly change EM practice or modestly contribute to current fund of knowledge

2=Study will definitely change EM practice or significantly change current fund of knowledge

#### Quality of Writing and tables/figures total = 2 points (final poster – onsite)

0=Poor

1=Generally good

2=Exceptional

## Quality of Verbal Delivery = 2 points (final poster – onsite)

0=Poor

1=Generally good

2=Exceptional

#### Maximum total score = 20

# **ABSTRACT SUBMISSION FORM**

Title:		
		and last names of all authors and titles such as EMT-P, le name of the principal investigator.)
Author presenti	ng study:	
Position/title:		
Institutions: (If r	none, list city and state)	
Mailing address instructed otherw	vise.)	ter. Correspondence will be sent to presenter unless
Phone:	Fax:	Email:
Will this abstrac	ct be presented prior to	the International Trauma Conference? ☐ YES ☐
If so, where and	when?	
	his research has been a e this would be conside	approved by my institutional review board in all red appropriate.
Name of Principal Author		Date
☐ I agree to pre	sent this research if ac	cepted.
Name of Presen	ter	Date

PLEASE ATTACH A BLINDED COPY OF THE RESEARCH ABSTRACT FOR JUDGING PURPOSES.

# **ABSTRACT**

### **Abstract Title**

Background:	
Objective:	
Design/Methods:	
besigny wethous.	
Results:	
Conclusion:	
luana etc	
Impact:	